MED416	DDA IFCT DI ACE II	CATEGORY	L	Т	Р	CREDIT
MED416	PROJECT PHASE II	PWS	0	0	12	4

**Preamble:** The course 'Project Work' is mainly intended to evoke the innovation and invention skills in a student. The course will provide an opportunity to synthesize and apply the knowledge and analytical skills learned, to be developed as a prototype or simulation. The project extends to 2 semesters and will be evaluated in the 7th and 8th semester separately, based on the achieved objectives. One third of the project credits shall be completed in 7th semester and two third in 8th semester. It is recommended that the projects may be finalized in the thrust areas of the respective engineering stream or as interdisciplinary projects. Importance should be given to address societal problems and developing indigenous technologies.

### **Course Objectives**

- > To apply engineering knowledge in practical problem solving.
- > To foster innovation in design of products, processes or systems.
- > To develop creative thinking in finding viable solutions to engineering problems.

Course Outcomes [COs]: After successful completion of the course, the students will be able to:

CO1	Model and solve real world problems by applying knowledge across domains (Cognitive knowledge level: <b>Apply</b> ).								
CO2	Develop products, processes or technologies for sustainable and socially relevant applications (Cognitive knowledge level: <b>Apply</b> ).								
CO3	Function effectively as an individual and as a leader in diverse teams and to comprehend and execute designated tasks (Cognitive knowledge level: <b>Apply</b> ).								
CO4	Plan and execute tasks utilizing available resources within timelines, following ethical and professional norms (Cognitive knowledge level: <b>Apply</b> ).								
CO5	Identify technology/research gaps and propose innovative/creative solutions (Cognitive knowledge level: Analyze).								
CO6	Organize and communicate technical and scientific findings effectively in written and oral forms (Cognitive knowledge level: <b>Apply</b> ).								

#### Mapping of course outcomes with program outcomes

	PO1	PO2	PO3	PO4	PO5	PO6	PO7	PO8	PO9	PO10	PO11	PO12
CO1	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	2
CO2	2	2	2		1	3	3	1	1		1	1
CO3									3	2	2	1
CO4					2			3	2	2	3	2
CO5	2	3	3	1	2							1
CO6					2			2	2	3	1	1

	Abstract POs defined by National Board of Accreditation									
PO #	Broad PO	PO#	Broad PO							
PO1	Engineering Knowledge	PO7	Environment and Sustainability							
PO2	Problem Analysis	PO8	Ethics							
PO3	Design/Development of solutions	PO9	Individual and team work							
PO4	Conduct investigations of complex problems	PO0	Communication							
PO5	Modern tool usage	PO11	Project Management and Finance							
PO6	The Engineer and Society	PO12	Lifelong learning							

# **PROJECT PHASE II**

## **Phase 2 Targets**

- > In depth study of the topic assigned in the light of the report prepared under Phase I;
- > Review and finalization of the approach to the problem relating to the assigned topic.
- > Preparing a detailed action plan for conducting the investigation, including teamwork.
- Detailed Analysis/ Modeling / Simulation/ Design/ Problem Solving/Experiment as needed.
- Final development of product/ process, testing, results, conclusions and future directions.
- > Preparing a paper for Conference Presentation/ Publication in Journals, if possible.
- Presenting projects in Project Expos conducted by the University at the cluster level and/ or state level as well as others conducted in India and abroad.
- > Filing Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) if applicable.
- Preparing a report in the standard format for being evaluated by the Department Assessment Board.
- ➢ Final project presentation and viva voce by the assessment board including the external expert.

# **Evaluation Guidelines & Rubrics**

Total: 150 marks (Minimum required to pass: 75 marks).

- > Project progress evaluation by guide: 30 Marks.
- Two interim evaluations by the Evaluation Committee: 50 Marks (25 marks for each evaluation).
- > Final evaluation by the Final Evaluation committee: 40 Marks
- > Quality of the report evaluated by the evaluation committee: 30 Marks

(The evaluation committee comprises HoD or a senior faculty member, Project coordinator and project supervisor. The final evaluation committee comprises of Project coordinator, expert from Industry/research/academic Institute and a senior faculty from a sister department).

### **Evaluation by the Guide**

The guide/supervisor must monitor the progress being carried out by the project groups on regular basis. In case it is found that progress is unsatisfactory it should be reported to the Department Evaluation Committee for necessary action. The presence of each student in the group and their involvement in all stages of execution of the project shall be ensured by the guide. Project evaluation by the guide: 30 Marks. This mark shall be awarded to the students in his/her group by considering the following aspects:

**Project Scheduling & Distribution of Work among Team members:** Detailed and extensive Scheduling with timelines provided for each phase of project. Work breakdown structure well defined. (5)

Literature survey: Outstanding investigation in all aspects. (4)

**Student's Diary/ Daily Log**: The main purpose of writing daily diary is to cultivate the habit of documenting and to encourage the students to search for details. It develops the students' thought process and reasoning abilities. The students should record in the daily/weekly activity diary the day to day account of the observations, impressions, information gathered and suggestions given, if any. It should contain the sketches & drawings related to the observations made by the students. The daily/weekly activity diary shall be signed after every day/week by the guide. (7)

Individual Contribution: The contribution of each student at various stages. (9)

**Completion of the project:** The students should demonstrate the project to their respective guide. The guide shall verify the results and see that the objectives are met. (5)

	EVALUATION RUBRICS for PROJECT Phase II: Interim Evaluation - 1										
No.	Parameters	Marks	Poor	Fair	Very Good	Outstanding					
2-a	Novelty of idea, and Implementation scope [CO5] [Group Evaluation]	5	useful requirement. The idea is evolved into a non-implementable one. The work presented so far is	Some of the aspects of the proposed idea can be implemented. There is still lack of originality in the work done so far by the team. The project is a regularly done theme/topic without any freshness in terms of specifications, features, and/or improvements.	the originality of the work done by the	The project has evolved into incorporating an outstandingly novel idea. Original work which is not yet reported anywhere else. Evidence for ingenious way of innovation which is also Implementable. Could be a patentable / publishable work.					
			(0 – 1 Marks)	(2 – 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)					
2-b	Effectiveness of task distribution among team members. [CO3] [Group Evaluation]	5	No task distribution of any kind. Members are still having no clue on what to do.	Task allocation done, but not effectively, some members do not have any idea of the tasks assigned. Some of the tasks were identified but not followed individually well.	being done, supported by project journal entries, identification of tasks through discussion etc. However, the task distribution seems to be skewed, and depends a few members heavily	project journal entries. All members are					
			(0 – 1 Marks)	(2 – 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)					
2-c	Adherence to project schedule. [CO4] [Group Evaluation]	5	planning or scheduling of the project. The students did not stick to the plan what they were going to build nor plan on what materials / resources to use in the project. The students do not have any idea on the budget required even after the end of	There is some improvement in the primary plan prepared during phase I. There were some ideas on the materials /resources required, but not really thought out. The students have some idea on the finances required, but they have not formalized a budget plan. Schedules were not prepared. The project journal has no useful details on the project.	Good evidence of planning done and being followed up to a good extent after phase I. Materials were listed and thought out, but the plan wasn't followed completely. Schedules were prepared, but not detailed, and needs improvement. Project journal is presented but it is neither complete nor updated regularly.	Excellent evidence of enterprising and extensive project planning and follow-up since phase I. Continued use of project management/version control tool to track the project. Material procurement if applicable is progressing well. Tasks are updated and incorporated in the schedule. A well-kept project journal showed evidence for all the above, in addition to the interaction with the project guide.					
			(0 - 1 Marks)	(2 - 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)					

2-d	Interim Results. [CO6] [Group assessment]	5	There are no interim results to show.	consistent to the current stage, Some	The interim results showed were good and mostly consistent/correct with respect to the current stage. There is room for improvement.	There were significant interim results presented which clearly shows the progress.		
			(0 - 1 Marks)	(2 - 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)		
2-е	Presentation [Individual assessment]	5	no interim results. The student has	student has only a feeble idea about		Exceptionally good presentation. Student has excellent grasp of the project. The quality of presentation is outstanding.		
	-		(0 - 1 Marks)	(2 - 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)		
	Phase-II Interim Evaluation - 1 Total Marks: 25							

	<b>EVALUATION RUBRICS for PROJECT Phase II: Interim Evaluation – 2</b>									
No	Parameters	Marks	Poor	Fair	Very Good	Outstanding				
2-f	Application of engineering knowledge [CO1] [Individual Assessment]	10	evidence of applying engineering	basic knowledge, but not able to show the design procedure and the methodologies adopted in a	evidence of application of engineering knowledge in the design and development of the project to good	Excellent knowledge in design procedure and its adaptation. The student is able to apply knowledge from engineering domains to the problem and develop solutions.				
			(0 – 3 Marks)	(4 – 6 Marks)	(7 - 9 Marks)	(10 Marks)				
2-g	Involvement of individual members 5 [CO3]	5	No evidence of any Individual participation in the project work.	There is evidence for some amount of individual contribution, but is limited to some of the superficial tasks.	The individual contribution is evident. The student has good amount of involvement in core activities of the project.	Evidence available for the student acting as the core technical lead and has excellent contribution to the project.				
	[Individual Assessment]		(0 - 1 Marks)	(2 - 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)				
2-h	Results and inferences upon execution [CO5] [Group Assessment]	5	None of the expected outcomes are achieved yet. The team is unable to derive any inferences on the failures/ issues observed. Any kind o f observations or studies are not made.	Only a few of the expected outcomes are achieved. A few inferences are made on the observed failures/issues. No further work suggested.	achieved. Many observations and inferences are made, and attempts to	Most of the stated outcomes are met. Extensive studies are done and inferences drawn. Most of the failures are addressed and solutions suggested. Clear and valid suggestions made for further work.				
			(0 - 1 Marks)	(2 - 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)				
2-i	Documentation and presentation. .[CO6] [Individual assessment]	5	The individual student has no idea on the presentation of his/her part. The presentation is of poor quality.	Presentation's overall quality needs to be improved.	The individual's presentation performance is satisfactory.	The individual's presentation is done professionally and with great clarity. The individual's performance is excellent.				
			(0 - 1 Marks)	(2 - 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)				
	Phase-II Interim Evaluation - 2 Total Marks: 25									

			EVALUATION RU	BRICS for PROJECT Phase II:	Final Evaluation	
No	Parameters	Marks	Poor	Fair	Very Good	Outstanding
2-ј	Engineering knowledge. [CO1] [Group Assessment]	10	of applying engineering knowledge	The team is able to show some of the design procedure and the methodologies adopted, but not in a comprehensive manner.	application of engineering knowledge in the design and development of the	Excellent knowledge in design procedure and its adaptation. The team is able to apply knowledge from engineering domains to the problem and develop an excellent solution.
			(0 – 3 Marks)	(4 – 6 Marks)	(7 - 9 Marks)	(10 Marks)
2-k	Relevance of the project with respect to societal and/or industrial needs. [Group Assessment] [CO2]	5	The project as a whole do not have any societal / industrial relevance at all.	respect to social and/or industrial application. The team has however made not much effort to explore	and/or industry. The team is mostly successful in translating the problem	The project is exceptionally relevant to society and/or industry. The team has made outstanding contribution while solving the problem in a professional and/ or ethical manner.
			(0 - 1 Marks)	(2 - 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)
2-i	Innovation / novelty / Creativity [CO5] [Group Assessment]		The project is not addressing any useful requirement. The idea is evolved into a non-implementable one. The work presented so far is lacking any amount of original work by the team.	still lack of originality in the work done. The project is a regularly done theme/topic without any freshness in	originality of the work done by the	which is not yet reported anywhere else. Evidence for ingenious way of innovation which is also Implementable. Could be a patentable publishable work.
			(0 - 1 Marks)	(2 - 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)
2-m	Quality of results / conclusions / solutions. [CO1] [Group Assessment]	10		made on the observed failures/issues. No further work suggested.	Many of the expected outcomes are achieved. Many observations and inferences are made, and attempts to identify the issues are done. Some	Most of the stated outcomes are met. Extensive studies are done and inferences drawn. Most of the failures are addressed and solutions suggested. Clear and valid suggestions made for further work.
			(0 – 3 Marks)	(4 – 6 Marks)	(7 - 9 Marks)	(10 Marks)

	Presentation - Part I Preparation of slides. [CO6] [Group Assessment].	5	The presentation slides are shallow and in a clumsy format. It does not follow proper organization.	Presentation slides follow professional style formats to some extent. However, its organization is not very good. Language needs to be improved. All references are not cited properly, or	Organization of the slides is good. Most of references are cited properly. The flow is good and team presentation is neatly organized. Some of the results	The presentation slides are exceptionally good. Neatly organized. All references cited properly. Diagrams/Figures, Tables and equations are properly numbered, and l i s ted. Results/ inferences clearly	
2-n			(0 - 1 Marks)	(2 - 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)	
	Presentation - Part II: Individual Communication [CO6] [Individual Assessment].	5	The student is not communicating	the content. The student requires a lot	Good presentation/ communication by the student. The student is able to explain most of the content very well. There are however, a few areas where the student shows lack of preparation. Language is better.	exhibited by the student. The	
	-		(0 - 1 Marks)	(2 - 3 Marks)	(4 Marks)	(5 Marks)	
	Phase-II Final Evaluation, Marks: 40						

Sl. No.	Parameters	Marks	Poor	Fair	Very Good	Outstanding
2-о	Report [CO6]	20	follow proper organization. Contains mostly unacknowledged content. Lack of effort in preparation is evident.	Language needs to be improved. All references are not cited properly in the report. There is lack of formatting	mostly following the standard style format and there are only a few issues Organization of the report is good	are properly numbered, and listed and clearly shown. Language is excellent and follows professional styles. Consistent
			(0 - 11 Marks)	(12 - 18 Marks)	(19 - 28 Marks)	(29 - 30 Marks)